

A Study of Correlation between Derived and Basic Anthropometric Indices in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

Kayode A. Jimoh

*Corresponding Author Consultant Chemical Pathologist, Federal Medical Centre
P.M.B. 201, Ido-Ekiti, Ekiti State
E-mail: jim_kayode@yahoo.co.uk
Mobile No: +2348033705297*

Olufemi S. Adediran

*Consultant Endocrinologist, Department of Medicine
College of Health Sciences, Benue State University
Markurdi, Benue State*

Segun M. Agboola

*Consultant Family Physician, Federal Medical Centre
P.M.B. 201, Ido-Ekiti, Ekiti State*

Deola Tomi-Olugbodi

*Consultant Chemical Pathologist, Department of Chemical Pathology
Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching hospital Complex
Ile-Ife, Osun State*

Ademola A. Idowu

*Registrar, Department of Chemical Pathology
Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching hospital Complex
Ile-Ife, Osun State*

Simeon A. Adebisi

*Consultant Chemical Pathologist, Department of Chemical Pathology
University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, P.M.B. 1459, Ilorin, Kwara State*

Adeoye T. Adedeji

*Senior Registrar, Department of Chemical Pathology
University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, P.M.B. 1459, Ilorin, Kwara State*

Abstract

Introduction: Obesity and diabetes are related disease with genetics, environmental and dietary factors implicated in their genesis. Basic anthropometric measurements are used as indicators for the presence of these diseases. Derived measurements from these basic measures are being used more frequently with some of the basic ones at the verge of being discarded as assessment in clinical practice. The study assesses the correlations between the basic and the derived measurement in Type 2 Diabetic subjects.

Materials and Method: Diabetic patients were recruited from the investigative clinic of the hospital for this cross-sectional study. The basic anthropometric measurement of height, weight, hip circumference, waist circumference and the derived measures BMI, WHR, WHtR were determined from this basic measures.

Results: Correlations between the derived and basic measures were determined using SPSS statistical software was use. There was a significant correlation between WC and HC and the derived variables BMI, WHR and WHtR among the nonobese DM compared to the obese DM. HC has a poor correlation with the the derived variables among the obese DM.

Conclusion: The HC assessment in nonobese DM patients is more relevant than in the obese DM patients.

Keywords: Diabetes, derived anthropometric, correlations, obesity

Introduction

Central obesity is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease, particularly in women. In most developed countries, the prevalence of obesity is increasing steadily, and has reached epidemic proportion in some populations with a resultant increase in cardiovascular disease burden. The fundamental basis of the association between obesity and type 2 DM is a subject under intense scrutiny. Genetic susceptibility, environmental and dietary factors, and sedentary life style have all been implicated. Individuals with type 2 DM are at particular risk of the adverse consequences of obesity, and the interaction of both disorders with other components of the metabolic syndrome culminate in an increase in macrovascular and microvascular complications and the associated reduction in quality of life.¹⁻³ Body mass index (BMI), which relates weight to height, is the most widely used and simple measure of body size and it is frequently used to estimate the prevalence of obesity within a population. BMI does not reflect body fat distribution, whereas the intra-abdominal deposition of adipose tissue is a major contributor to the development of hypertension, insulin resistance, DM and dyslipidemia.⁴ Thus, other anthropometric indices such as waist circumference (WC), hip circumference (HC), waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) have been used as alternatives to BMI. Waist circumference is increasingly being accepted as the best anthropometric indicator of abdominal adiposity and metabolic risk.⁵⁻⁷ On the other hand, some studies have proved that waist to height ratio (WHtR)⁸⁻¹⁰ and abdominal height (AH)¹¹⁻¹³ (measured as the distance from the exam table to the top of the belly when the patient is lying supine), has been shown to be a better predictor of cardiovascular disease than any other anthropometric measurement including BMI [body mass index], waist circumference, waist-hip ratio (WHR), and skin-fold thickness.

This study is aim at determining the correlations between the derived and basic anthropometric indices in type 2 DM Nigerians managed in rural tertiary institution.

Materials and Methods

This cross-sectional study was carried out at the department of chemical pathology Federal Medical Centre, Ido-Ekiti, Ekiti State in the western region of Nigeria. The centre is tertiary health institution provided by the government of the country to serve as a referral centre. After adequate education on the purpose of the study, a total of 113diabetic subjects who gave their consent and are not on insulin were recruited. The ethical committee of Federal Medical Centre gave approval for the study.

Blood pressure was measured on left arm by auscultatory method using mercury sphygmomanometer. Each individual was made comfortable and seated at least for five minutes in the chair before measurement. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) >140 mmHg

and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) >90 mmHg as per US Seventh Joint National Committee on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of Hypertension (JNC VII) criteria.¹⁴

Body weight was measured (to the nearest 0.5 kilogram) with the subject standing motionless on the bathroom weighing scale.¹⁵ The weighing scale was standardized every day with a weight of 50 kg. Height was measured (to the nearest 0.1 centimeter) with the subject standing in an erect position against a vertical scale of portable stadiometer and with the head positioned so that the top of the external auditory meatus was in level with the inferior margin of the bony orbit. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by squared height in meter. Conventional BMI cutoff points were applied to classify the study populations into underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), normal BMI (18.5 ≤ BMI < 25 kg/m²) and overweight (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²).

Waist and hip circumferences were measured twice to the nearest centimeter and the mean was used for subsequent analysis. Waist Circumference (WC) was measured half way between the xiphisternum and the umbilicus while hip circumference (HC) was measured at the level of the greater trochanters. The waist hip ratio (WHR) and the waist to height ratio (WHtR) was then computed for each patient. Elevated WC was defined as WC = 102 cm for men and 88 cm for women¹⁶, while elevated WHR was defined as WHR = 0.95 for men and 0.88 for women¹⁷.

The statistical software SPSS (version 15) was used for data analysis. The mean values of WC, HC, BMI, WHR, WHtR and BP were determined. Correlations between the variables were examined using the Pearson correlation coefficients.

Results

The baseline characteristics of the patients with type 2 DM in this study are shown in Table 1. Of the 113 persons, 70 were female while 43 were male giving a male to female ratio of 1:1.6. The mean age, duration of DM, BMI, SBP and DBP was similar in both sexes. The waist circumference, hip circumference and waist height ratio were significantly higher among the female subjects. An elevated waist circumference (as defined based on gender) was present in 61 (54%) of the studied population.

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of the subjects

Characteristics	Men	Women	Pvalue
Number of subjects	43	70	
Age (years)	62.1±12.2	60±11.5	0.36
Duration of DM (years)	3.71±2.3	3.92±3.6	0.73
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	26±6.1	27.32±5.7	0.25
Systolic blood Pressure (mm of Hg)	145.6±25.2	141.6±24.8	0.42
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mm of Hg)	91.16±15.2	86.1±12	0.057
Waist Circumference (cm)	94.3±13.1	101.5±13.1	0.005
Hip circumference (cm)	99.3±13.5	106±13.7	0.003
Waist hip ratio	0.95±0.005	0.95±0.005	0.8
Waist height ratio	0.569±0.009	0.644±0.009	0.0001

The distribution of patients across BMI categories (kg/m²) was as follows: underweight 4 (3.5%), healthy 54 (47.8%), overweight 32 (28.3%) and obese 23 (20.4%). The prevalence of obesity in this study was 20.3% (23 of 113) overall. Table 2 shows the distribution of the patients into obese and non-obese based on the BMI cut off point of 30.0 kg/m².

Table 2: Distribution of Subjects into Obese and non-obese using the BMI cut point 30kg/m²

Characteristics	Obese	Non-obese	Pvalue
Number of subjects	23	90	
Age (years)	66.1±11.3	59.4±11.5	0.014
Duration of DM (years)	2.52±2.01	4.17±3.30	0.024
Systolic blood Pressure (mm of Hg)	154.6±17.7	140±25.8	0.017
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mm of Hg)	94.6±13.5	86.3±13	0.0084
Waist Circumference (cm)	120±7.06	93.4±8.37	0.0001
Hip circumference (cm)	126±8.41	98.3±8.71	0.0001
Waist hip ratio	0.95±0.005	0.95±0.005	0.97
Waist height ratio	0.670±0.005	0.578±0.006	0.0001

The mean age (66.1±11.3 v 59.4±11.5, p= 0.014), waist circumference (120±7.06 v 93.4±8.37, p= 0.0001), systolic blood pressure (154.6±17.7 v 140±25.8, p= 0.017), diastolic blood pressure (94.6±13.5 v 86.3±13, p= 0.0084), hip circumference (126±8.41v 98.3±8.71, p= 0.0001) and waist to height ratio (0.670±0.005 v 0.578±0.006, p= 0.0001) were significantly higher among the obese than the non-obese patients respectively; the duration of diabetes mellitus was significantly shorter among the obese than the non-obese (2.52±2.01 v 4.17±3.30, p= 0.024).

Table 3 shows the Pearson correlation between the derived and basic anthropometric indices.

Table 3: Pearson Correlations between Derived and basic anthropometric indices in type 2 DM

	BMI(kg/m ²)		WHR		WHtR	
	OBESE	NONOBESE	OBESE	NONOBESE	OBESE	NONOBESE
WC	0.362	0.782**	0.423*	0.348**	0.637**	0.924**
HC	0.363	0.764**	-0.205	-0.320**	0.289	0.701**

Note: * Significant at p<0.05
 ** Significant at p<0.01

Among the obese there was significant correlation between the WC and WHR; WC and WHtR, and a poor correlation between WC and BMI; however the HC correlated poorly with BMI, WHR, and WHtR. Among the non-obese there was significant correlation between WC and BMI, WC and WHR; WC and WHtR. The HC correlated significantly with the BMI and WHtR while there was a significant negative correlation with WHR.

Discussion

This study describes a cohort of adult Nigerian with type 2 DM attending the investigative department of a laboratory in a rural tertiary institution. There were more females than their male counterpart as is usually the case in a rural setting where more females are known to attend hospital than male.¹⁸⁻²⁰ However this finding may also be following a well known trend where diabetes and obesity is more prevalent among women.^{21,22}

The duration of diabetes was longer in the non obese diabetics compared to the obese diabetics. The association between obesity and type 2 DM is well recognised and weight gain may precede and precipitate type 2 DM, coincide with its development or aggravate existing diabetes, this may account for the earlier presentation among the obese patient in this study.

BMI alone is not as strong an indicator of cardiovascular risk as other anthropometric indices of obesity a measure that may be faulty²³⁻²⁵, other indices that are more closely correlated with cardiovascular risk were assessed in this study. More objective methods like impedance plethymography, densitometry, computerised tomography and magnetic resonance image are not readily available, thus making these measures invaluable in our environment because of the relative

ease of measurement, economy, convenience and availability. A comparison was thus made between the basic indices (waist circumference and hip circumference) and the derive indices (body mass index, waist hip ratio and waist to height ratio).

In this study it was found that waist circumference as measure of intraabdominal obesity had a poor correlation with BMI among the obese diabetic patients compared to a strong correlation among the non-obese, while WC and WHR had a good correlation in both the obese and non-obese diabetics, Adediran et al²⁶ also found a strong correlation between BMI and WC, and WC and WHR in both diabetic patients with and without metabolic syndrome. Wei et al.²⁷ investigated the predictive power of waist circumference, BMI, WHR and other anthropometric indices for type 2 diabetes in Mexican Americans and found that although BMI, WHR, and waist were independent predictors for type 2 diabetes, waist circumference was the strongest and most consistent. Thus it was concluded that abdominal fat localization often indicated by waist measure was more important than total amounts of body fat or subcutaneous adipose tissue in predicting type 2 diabetes. In an attempt to elucidate the role of obesity, numerous investigations have sought to define the best anthropometric determinants of obesity and how obesity relates to hypertension and diabetes. Despite these efforts, the best anthropometric methods for obesity have not been fully determined. Traditionally, BMI and WHR are the most cited indices in literature because they approximate adiposity and fat distribution.²⁸⁻³¹ Opinions vary as to whether or not waist circumference is as good a predictor as other anthropometric parameters. The best argument in favor of waist as predictor for these diseases is that it is a cumulative measurement of the absolute amounts of total and abnormal fat distribution, which is more relevant to cardiovascular diseases than total body fat.

The hip circumference is one of the anthropometric indices that is at the verge of being phased out in clinical practice because of the better indicator of waist circumference in predicting cardiovascular disease, diabetes and others. However Seidell et al.³² reported that men and women with narrower than expected hips had a 2- to 3-fold excess risk of being diabetics, after adjustment for waist circumference; also Hartz et al.³³ reported that women with wide hips were less likely to report hypertension, diabetes, and gallbladder disease, after adjustment for relative weight and waist circumference. In the Hoorn Study where the contribution of thigh circumference and hip circumference to measures of glucose metabolism independent of waist circumference was investigated; it was found out that, thigh circumference in women and hip circumference in both sexes are negatively associated with markers of glucose metabolism independently of the waist circumference, BMI, and age.³⁴ Lissner showed that smaller hip circumferences predicted the incidence of self-reported diabetes in women in a prospective study.³⁵

The WHR is also a practical index of regional adipose tissue distribution and has been widely used to investigate the relations between regional adipose tissue distribution and metabolic profile.³⁶ Chan et al³⁷ found that WHR was reasonably correlated with the mass of all adipose tissue in men. However, the WHR value does not account for large variations in the level of total fat and abdominal visceral adipose tissues.³⁸

WHtR is a recently introduced index to assess central fat distribution. An increased waist circumference is most likely associated with elevated risk factors because of its relation with visceral fat accumulation, and the mechanism may involve excess exposure of the liver to fatty acids.³⁹ The combination of WC and height that is W/Ht could manifest better the morphology of an enlarged abdomen with inappropriate short stature.⁴⁰

Thus the use of the basic measure of anthropometry should not be discarded in totality but should still be use particularly in nonobese DM patients.

References

- [1] Straub RH, Thum M, Hollerbach C, Palitzsch KD, Scholmerich J. Impact of obesity on neuropathic late complications of NIDDM. *Diabetes Care*. 1994; 17:1290-4
- [2] Maggio CA, Pi-Sunyer FX. Obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am*. 2003;3294 :805-22
- [3] Shera AS, Jawad F, Maqsood A, Jamal S, Azfar M, Ahmed U. Prevalence of chronic complications and associated factors in type 2 diabetes. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2004; 54:54-9
- [4] Kopelman PG. Obesity as a medical problem. *Nature* 2000; 404: 635-43.
- [5] World Health Organization. Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry. WHO Technical Report Series 854. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1995
- [6] Ledoux M, Lambert J, Reeder BA, Despres JP. Correlation between cardiovascular disease risk factors and simple anthropometric measures. Canadian Heart Health Surveys Research Group. *CMAJ* 1997; 157: S46-53.
- [7] Lemos-Santos MG, Valente JG, Goncalves-Silva RM, Sichieri R. Waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio as predictors of serum concentration of lipids in Brazilian men. *Nutrition* 2004; 20: 857-62.
- [8] Ashwell M, Lejeune S, McPherson K. Ratio of waist circumference to height may be better indicator of need for weight management. *BMJ* 1996; 312: 377.
- [9] Hsieh SD, Muto T. Metabolic syndrome in Japanese men and women with special reference to the anthropometric criteria for the assessment of obesity: Proposal to use the waist-to-height ratio. *Prev Med* 2006; 42: 135-9.
- [10] Sayeed MA, Mahtab H, Latif ZA, Khanam PA, Ahsan KA, Banu A et al. Waist-to-height ratio is a better obesity index than body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio for predicting diabetes, hypertension and lipidemia. *Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull* 2003; 29: 1-10.
- [11] Gustat J, Elkasabany A, Srinivasan S, Berenson GS. Relation of abdominal height to cardiovascular risk factors in young adults: the Bogalusa heart study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2000;151: 885-891.
- [12] Ohrvall M, Berglund L, Vessby B. Sagittal abdominal diameter compared with other anthropometric measurements in relation to cardiovascular risk. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2000;24:497-501.
- [13] Smith DA, Ness EM, Herbert R, Schechter CB, Phillips RA, Diamond JA, et al. Abdominal diameter index: a more powerful anthropometric measure for prevalent coronary heart disease risk in adult males. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2005;7:370-380.
- [14] Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo Jr JL et al. The seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: The JNC 7 Report. *JAMA* 2003; 289: 2560–2572.
- [15] Jelliffe DB, Jelliffe EF, (editors). Community nutritional assessment with special reference to less technically developed countries, 1st ed. New York: Oxford Press; 1989 p. 13-27.
- [16] Lean ME, Han TS, Morrison CE: Waist circumference as a measure for indicating need for weight management. *BMJ* 1995; 311:158 –161.
- [17] US Department of Agriculture Report of dietary guideline advisory committee for Americans, 1990. (USDA Publication No. 261-495/20124)
- [18] Nabeel I, Amin J, Mubarak A, Hassan AM, Samira A. Dyslipidaemia in Qatari patients with non-insulin dependent diabetes. *Int Digest*. 1996; 7:17-20
- [19] Hassan AS, Al-Mousa ZA. Prevalence of Obesity in-patients attending diabetics care centres in Kuwait. *Int Diabetes Digest* 1995; 6:39-41
- [20] Davidson JC. Diabetics in Qatar. *IDF Bulletin* 1982; 27:3-6
- [21] Okosun IS, Forrester TE, Rotimi CN, Osotimehin BO, Muna WF, Cooper RS. Abdominal adiposity in six populations of West Africa descent: Prevalence and population attributable fraction of Hypertension. *Obes Res*. 1999; 7:453-62.

- [22] Scavini, M, Stidley CA, Shah VO, Narva A S, Tentori F, Kessler D S, et al. Prevalence of Diabetes Is Higher Among Female than Male Zuni Indians. *Diabetes Care* 26:55-60, 2003.
- [23] Janssen I, Katzmarzyk PT, Ross R. Waist circumference and not body mass index explains obesity related health risk. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2004; 79:347-9.
- [24] Long AE, Prewitt TE, Kaufman JS, Rotimi CN, Cooper RS, McGee DL. Weight- height relationships among eight population of West African origin: the case against constant BMI standard. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* 1998; 22:842-6.
- [25] Okosun IS, Cooper RS, Rotimi CN, Osotimehin BO, Forrester TE. Association of waist circumference with risk of hypertension and type 2 diabetes in Nigerians, Jamaicans, and African-Americans. *Diabetes Care.* 1999; 22:876-7.
- [26] Adediran OS, Jimoh AK, Edo AE, Ohwovoriole AE. Correlation Of Various Anthropometric Indices Among Nigerians With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *High Med Res J,* 2007; 5:43-48.
- [27] Wei M, Gaskill SP, Haffner SM, Stern MP. Waist circumference as the best predictor of noninsulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) compared to body mass index, waist/hip ratio over other anthropometric measurements in Mexican Americans: a 7-year prospective study. *Obesity Res* 1997; 5: 16-23.
- [28] Chan JM, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Obesity, fat distribution, and weight gain as risk factors for clinical diabetes in men. *Diabetes Care* 1994; 17:961-969.
- [29] Haffner SM, Mitchell BD, Stern MP, Hazuda H P, Patterson JK: Public health significance of upper body adiposity for non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus in Mexican Americans. *Int J Obes* 1992; 16:177-184.
- [30] Haffner SM, Stern MP, Hazuda HP, Rosenthal M, Knapp JA, Malina RM. Role of obesity and fat distribution in non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus in Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic whites. *Diabetes Care* 1986; 9:153-162.
- [31] Kaye SA, Folsom AR, Spafka JM, Priineas RJ, Wallace RB. Increased incidence of diabetes mellitus in relation to abdominal adiposity in older women. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1991; 44:329-334.
- [32] Seidell J. C, Han T. S, Feskens E.J.M, Lean M.E.J. Narrow hips and broad waist circumferences independently contribute to increased risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Intern Med.* 1997; 242: 401-406.
- [33] Hartz A. J, Rupley D. C, Rimm A.A. The association of girth measurements with disease in 32,856 women. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1984; 119: 71-80.
- [34] Snijder M. B, Dekker J.M, Visser M, Yudkin J.S, Stehouwer C.D.A, Bouter L.M, et al. Larger Thigh and Hip Circumferences Are Associated with Better Glucose Tolerance: The Hoorn Study. *Obes Res* 2003; 11, 104-111
- [35] Lissner L, Bjorkelund C, Heitmann B. L, Seidell J.C, Bengtsson C. Larger hip circumference independently predicts health and longevity in a Swedish female cohort. *Obes Res.* 2001; 9: 644-646.
- [36] Deurenberg P, Yap M. The assessment of obesity: methods for measuring body fat and global prevalence of obesity. *Bailliere Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999; 13:1-11
- [37] Chan D C, Watts G F, Barrett P H R. Waist circumference, waist to hip ratio and body mass index as predictors of adipose tissue compartment in men. *Q J Med.* 2003; 96:441-447.
- [38] Pouliot MC, Despres JP, Lemieux S, Moorjani S, Bouchard C, Tremblay A, et al. Waist circumference and abdominal sagittal diameter: best simple anthropometric indexes of abdominal visceral adipose tissue accumulation and related cardiovascular risk in men and women. *Am J Cardiol.* 1994; 73:460-8.
- [39] Despres JP, Lemieux S, Lamarche B, Prud'homme D, Moorjani S, Brun LD, et al. The insulin resistance-dyslipidemic syndrome: contribution of visceral obesity and therapeutic implications. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1995; Suppl 1: S76-86.

- [40] Jeong SK, Seo MW, Kim YH, Kweon SS, Nam HS. Does waist indicate dyslipidemia better than BMI in Korean adult population? *J Korean Med Sci* 2005; 20: 7-12.